Doc Code: AP.PRE.REQ

PTO/SB/33 (07-05)
Approved for use through xx/xx/200x. OMB 0651-00xx
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Judger the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1955-00 parsers are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1985, no persons are required to respond to				
	Docket Number (Optional)		otional)	
PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW		CISCO-3840		
•		·		
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the	Application Number 09/835,164		Filed	
United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail			4/13/2001	
in an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] December 29, 2005 First Name				
				on
Signatura KANAN Adolin	Shujin Zhang			
Signature 4000				
	Art Unit	Ex	aminer	
Typed or printed Karen A. Rogers	2181		Patel, Niketa I.	
nameRafer III Rogers		-	acci, nincea i.	
Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed				
with this request.				
•				
•				
This request is being filed with a notice of appeal.				
The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s).				
Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
•		1.	1	
			,	
I am the		//h //d.		
applicant/inventor.		11/2//		
аррисанинуетког.	Signature			
assignee of record of the entire interest.	Marc S. Hanish			
See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed.	Typed or printed name			
(Form PTO/SB/96)	Typed of printed frame			
X attorney or agent of record.	(408) 292-5800			
Registration number 42,626				
	Telephone number			
attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34.		29 225		
automey or agent acting under 57 Of IC 1.54.		December 2, 2005		
Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34		Date		
NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required.				
Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below*.				

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

_ forms are submitted.

Attachment to Pre-Appeal Brief Request For Review

Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiners formally review the legal and factual basis of the rejections in the above referenced application in view of the remarks below:

- 1. Claims 53-57, 60-64, 67-71, 74-78, 81-85, 88-92 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as allegedly being anticipated by Beser, U.S. Patent No. 6,189,102. Applicant feels this rejection of record is clearly not proper and is without basis. See Amendment and Response to Office Action of June 13, 2005, dated August 15, 2005, pages 13-16.
- 2. Claims 58, 56, 72, 79, 86, and 93 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being allegedly unpatentable over Beser, U.S. Patent No. 6,189,102. Applicant feels this rejection of record is clearly not proper and is without basis. See Amendment and Response to Office Action of June 13, 2005, dated August 15, 2005, page 16.
- 3. Claims 59, 66, 73, 80, 87, and 94 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being allegedly unpatentable over Beser, U.S. Patent No. 6,189,102 and further in view of Michael Patrick, "DHCP Relay Agent Information Option" Motorola ISG, July 30, 1997. Applicant feels this rejection of record is clearly not proper and is without basis. See Amendment and Response to Office Action of June 13, 2005, dated August 15, 2005, page 16.